



COMPLAINT REFERRAL FORM

Complaint ID: I0611211912208451

The following information was provided by the victim and may be forwarded to the appropriate law enforcement or regulatory agencies.

Date: 11/21/2006 19:12:20

Victim Information

Name: Adrienne Gaye Thompson
Business Name:
Age: 50 - 59
Gender: F
Address: 5 Roehampton Circle
KGN 19
City: Kingston
Do you live within the city limits?: Yes
County:
State:
Country: Jamaica
Zip Code/Route: KGN 19
Phone number: 8769240800
Email Address: visionat@mail.infochan.com

Name of your local police or sheriff's office:

The Jamaica Constabulary Force

Is the complaint you are filing related to the Internet or an online service? Yes

Do you have pertinent documents in paper form? Yes

Information about the Individual/Business that victimized you

Business Name:

Name: James H. Williams
Gender: M
Address: Department of Mechanical Engineering
MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue
Rm 3-360
City: Cambridge
State: Massachusetts
Country: United States
Zip Code/Route: MA 02139
Phone number: 6172532221
Email Address: jhwill@mit.edu

Other Identifiers

Web Site: <http://www.me.mit.edu/people/personal/jhwill.htm>
IP Address:
IRC Server:
Chat Room Name:
Usenet Newsgroup:
Other:

Monetary Loss

If you lost money from the incident you are reporting, please specify the total dollar amount of your loss.

0

Please indicate the means of payment (select all that apply)

- Cash
- Cashier's Check
- Check/Debit Card
- Credit Card
- Money Order
- Wire Transfer
- Other (Specify)

Did you use a third party online payment service such as PayPal, BidPay, Escrow? [left blank]

Description of the Incident

Describe in your own words how you have been victimized.

The following report concerns the murder of Mr. Alvan Douglas Rhoden on 3 August 2006 at 37 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19, Jamaica. I believe that Mr. Rhoden was either a resident or a citizen of the USA.

Notwithstanding his American status, however, his murder was commissioned as part of the ongoing racketeering activity organized by an international criminal enterprise that includes 5 American nationals who are officers (or former officers) of MIT. The FBI accordingly have extraterritorial jurisdiction under Title 18 of the United States Federal Code. This includes the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

In addition to filing the report with the Regional Security Office of the United States embassy in Kingston, I have also filed a copy to FBI Tips and Public Leads at <https://tips.fbi.gov/>. On 31 January 2005, I also filed complaint number IFCC-105013115364847 with the Internet Crime Computer Centre concerning credit card fraud commissioned by MIT professor James H. Williams. I now file this report with the Internet Crime Complaint Centre because stalking by internet was one means used by the criminal enterprise to obtain the information that motivated the commissioning of Rhoden's murder.

The following is an extract from report on Rhoden's murder. I submitted the report to Mr. Kent Pantry, the Director for Public Prosecutions and Police Commissioner Lucius Thomas.

Statement No. 4

The Murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden:
Complaint against James H. Williams and Others
by Adrienne Gaye Thompson
5 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19, Jamaica

Filed for the Express Attention of:
Mr. Kent Pantry QC, Director of Public Prosecutions, and
Mr. Lucius Thomas, Commissioner of Police
17 November 2006

Delivered in person to: Public Buildings West, King Street, Kingston
and by email to: to dpp@moj.gov.jm.

I. SUMMARY

A. Background

1. I am the Complainant in case number OTP-CR-313/04, filed with the International Criminal Court (ICC), concerning an ongoing international criminal transaction, begun in 1983, constituting apartheid under the Statute of Rome. My neighbour Mr. Alvan Douglas Rhoden was murdered by Defendant James H. Williams Jr. and others with intent to persuade me to drop this complaint.

B. The Facts

2. Alvan Douglas Rhoden, died suddenly on Thursday, 3 August 2006 at his residence at 37 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19, after receiving pain relief treatment using the drug Arcoxia prescribed by his doctor, Dr. Charmian Webb. He was buried on 12 August 2006 without an autopsy.

3. The drug Arcoxia is known to increase the risk of heart attack, stroke, and cardiac death, and is accordingly contraindicated for patients with congestive heart failure or other heart disease. Rhoden had suffered a heart attack some years previously and was successfully being treated for congestive heart failure. The FDA have not approved Arcoxia for use in the USA.

4. The Defendants in case number OTP-CR-313/04 have, since 1992, escalated their programme of coercion and persecution to cause me to fear for my life and those of my family members and friends, with intent to pressure me into dropping the complaints. The Defendants operate in Jamaica through black Massachusetts Institute of Technology Professor, James H. Williams Jr. They seek to end my life.
5. The evidence points to a plan by Williams and other members of the criminal enterprise to murder Douglas Rhoden so as to persuade me to abort the filing of my pre-Indictment brief to the ICC in order to care for my former fiance, Mr. Eliezer Regnier, who resides in Nassau Bahamas - and who suffers from congestive heart failure. Rhoden visited me the day before he died and it was clear that he had been accepting advice from Williams that morning.
6. Dr. Charmian Webb is the wife of Mr. Derrick Webb, who is a defendant in suit No. CL1996/T-075 filed in Supreme Court of Judicature of Jamaica 28 April 1998 of which I am the claimant.[1] The evidence in the latter suit establishes a relationship between Derrick Webb and James Williams and the applications and statements of case in the suit are exhibited to the pre-indictment brief.

C. Action

7. Immediately investigate the death of Alvan Douglas Rhoden.

II. STATEMENT

A. Introduction

1. I, Adrienne Gaye Thompson, am the Complainant and a material witness in complaints reporting an ongoing criminal transaction of international dimensions, begun in 1983, involving the systematic fraud and theft of dissertations belonging to black/non-white engineering students at the University of Aberdeen, Scotland, and the perpetration of further crime in a sophisticated and well-resourced cover-up. The evidence points to the murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden on 3 August 2006, at the hands of MIT Professor James H. Williams Jr. and his accomplices, as part of the criminal transaction intended to maintain the cover-up. The Defendants intend to ultimately silence me by murder.
2. On Thursday, 3 August 2006, Rhoden died suddenly at his residence at 37 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19. He had been receiving pain relief treatment using the drug Arcoxia prescribed by his GP Dr. Charmian Webb. Rhoden had previously suffered a heart attack, but had since maintained good health, successfully undergoing treatment for high blood pressure and his heart problems as a patient of cardiologist Professor Charles E. Denbow. He was buried on 12 August 2006 without an autopsy.
3. The drug Arcoxia and its predecessor Vioxx, manufactured by Merck and Co., belong to a category of pain relievers known as Cox-2 inhibitors known to increase the risk of heart attack and stroke. Merck voluntarily withdrew Vioxx from the market on 30 September 2004 after a study provided evidence that the drug increased risk of heart attack, stroke, and sudden cardiac death.[3] Merck then introduced Arcoxia to replace Vioxx. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have not approved Arcoxia for use in the USA.
4. It was the intent of the criminal enterprise that Rhoden's death would frighten me into ceasing work on the pre-indictment brief filed 5 October 2006 with the ICC (the Brief) to care for my former fiance, attorney-at-law Mr. Eliezer Regnier, who suffers from congestive heart failure. Mr. Regnier resides in the Bahamas. The act of Rhoden's murder is itself a threat by Williams and his accomplices to the life of Regnier.
5. I had been working on the Brief for over a year and was close to completing the final draft in August when Rhoden was murdered. The conduct complained of constitutes apartheid under the Statute of Rome. I have filed complaints with the Commission for Racial Equality, the Metropolitan Police Service and other UK law enforcement agencies, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Jamaican law enforcement authorities. The complaints span the period July 1982 to the present. Influenced by the Defendants in the matter, all complaints have to date been obstructed or otherwise been disregarded; I have delineated the sophisticated programme of obstruction of justice in the record of complaints included as Appendix A of the Brief.
6. The declaration in paragraph 5 of my affidavit on page 101 of the Brief attesting to my belief that the criminal enterprise is "demonstrably capable of wilful killing and murder" is a direct reference to

this report "Statement No. 4" which I intended would follow.

7. The Defendants, who are university professors, government ministers, other public officers and others, are listed on pages 5 and 6 of the Brief. They include black MIT professor, James H. Williams Jr., who acts as agent for the criminal enterprise camouflaged, by his office as professor at the prestigious Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and by his colour, to obscure recognition of the fact of racial discrimination central to the apartheid. Through a menu of bribes, promises of jobs, admission to MIT (and other universities), and other rewards, Williams enjoys the protection of each person he has contacted; many of whom have agreed to say that they do not know him.

8. I am the Claimant in suit No. CL1996/T-075, filed in the Supreme Court of Judicature of Jamaica 28 April 1998, of which Mr. Derrick Webb is a defendant.[1] Dr. Charmian Webb is the wife of Derrick Webb. The evidence in the suit establishes a conspiracy between Derrick Webb, Williams and others to obtain my services by deception in order to further the purposes of the criminal enterprise. Accordingly, the statements of case and applications are exhibited to the Brief.

9. For 23 years the Defendants have, with the knowledge of the Jamaican government, invested considerable resources to engage a 24-hour programme of covert surveillance and stalking to persecute me and to incite fear for my life and the lives of my family members and friends - with intent to coerce me into dropping the complaints.

10. The Government ministers and law enforcement authorities all know that the probable outcomes of concealment of a crime by stalking a material witness with intent to silence him or her, include murder. Advised by Williams himself, the Jamaican government's wilful and summary disregard of the complaints facilitates the ongoing 23-year programme of stalking, serves to create a climate conducive to further criminal acts by the Defendants, and ultimately encouraged the murder of Alvan Rhoden. Accordingly, notwithstanding the responsibility of the other national and international law enforcement agencies concerned, responsibility for the murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden lies squarely at the feet of the Jamaican authorities.

Please indicate any medium used by the individual/business in the course of the incident.

- Bulletin board
- Chat room
- Email
- Fax
- In person
- Internet messaging
- Mail
- Newsgroup
- Telephone
- Web site
- Wire
- Other

Please indicate the initial means of contact with the individual/business that victimized you.

Other

Was this initial means of contact unsolicited/uninvited?

Yes

What was your relationship with the individual/business you are complaining about prior to the incident you are reporting?

someone at work or school

Did you conduct any research on the individual/business prior to the incident?

No

How much time has passed since you determined you were victimized?

Less than 1 week

Contact Information

Are there witnesses or other victims to this crime?

Description of the incident contd.

A. The Facts Surrounding Rhodens Murder

1. In about the last week of July 2006, I asked my gardener, Mr. Cleveland Hewitt, to check with me about tending to the garden in preparation for my parents return from holiday in Canada. On Tuesday, 1 August 2006, Hewitt advised me that he would be doing the garden the following day. Concerned about my cash flow, I informed Hewitt that I would prefer that he did the garden the following week. Hewitt insisted that the next day, Wednesday 2 August 2006, was the only day available as he was booked up the following week. We argued for some minutes and I eventually gave in, agreeing that he work on the following day, Wednesday.

2. Hewitt arrived at his usual time at about 7:00 on the morning of Wednesday, 2 August 2006 to do the garden. That morning, while I was working at the computer, Rhoden arrived. I heard Rhoden asking Hewitt whether or not I was awake, insisting that he didn't want to disturb me if I was sleeping. Hewitt assured him that I was up. Rhoden left his vehicle with the engine running and came in. As I recall, it was mid-morning or close to noon.

3. I immediately apprehended that Rhoden had been speaking again with Williams, as over the years I had grown accustomed to the many people who, evidently advised by Williams, consistently telephoned (or visited) in the middle of the day inquiring as to whether they had awoken me.

4. Rhoden said that he had brought his life certificate (for his pension payments) to be signed by my mother, Violet R. Thompson, who is a Justice of the Peace in the parish of St. Andrew. As I greeted him, I noted that he seemed anxious, but despite his running engine, we had a long conversation, as usual, on opposite sides of my verandah grill.

Have you reported this crime to any law enforcement or government agencies?

- Better Business Bureau
- Consumer protection agency
- Individual/business that victimized you
- Police/other law enforcement
- Private attorney

Provide the specific name of each organization, contact name, contact phone number, email address, date reported, and report number (if known).

1. Statement No. 1, August 13, 1998 - Account of Report to CIB, Constant Spring;
2. Statement No. 2, October 11, 1999 - Report to Commissioner of Police, Mr. Francis Forbes;
3. Statement No. 3, 23 May 2000 Report to the Commissioner of Police, Mr. Francis Forbes;
4. Complaint against the University of Aberdeen and others, 6 January 2004, filed for the express attention of Assistant Commissioner Mr. Tarique Ghaffur, Metropolitan Police Service, England (Scotland Yard);
5. Complaint to the FBI filed c/o the Regional Security Office, United States Embassy, Kingston, 31 January and 10 February 2005;
6. Complaint against University of Aberdeen Vice-Chancellor Rice and others, filed under Article 15 of the Rome Statute, International Criminal Court reference number OTP-CR-313/04, for the express attention of Prosecutor Luis Moreno-Ocampo, 14-15 July 2004 and 5 October 2006.

Supplemental Information

----- 11/21/2006 19:26:16 -----

5. I informed him that my mother was on holiday in Canada but would be returning soon and reprimanded him for presuming that I might have been sleeping in the middle of the day.
6. Each time Mr. Rhoden visited we would have a long conversation through the verandah grill and I would say each time that he should come in and sit. This time was no different except that he seemed anxious, preoccupied, and had left the engine running. He handed me the life certificate and left with the promise to bring me some mangoes.
7. I had for sometime noticed that many people brought mangoes for us although we have two mango trees, and had concluded that each person had been advised by Williams whose intent was for the mangoes to exacerbate my father's diabetes, to make me more susceptible to developing the disease, and to frustrate my efforts at weight loss.
8. My conversations with Mr. Rhoden were always about engineering, his study in Germany and his work building roads and bridges here in Jamaica. Some time previously, Mr. Rhoden had told me about his sons, one of whom worked for Pepsi, while another had been reading for a Masters degree in the USA, earning money for marking papers. I had already surmised from our discussions that Mr. Rhoden, like many of my other neighbours had been contacted by Williams. As a result, I mentioned my case against the University of Aberdeen and the criminal involvement of a few professors at MIT, explaining that I was not actively doing engineering because my time was consumed with the preparation of documents in the matter filed with the ICC. Rhoden correctly disclosed information about the case that I had not shared with him.
9. That morning, Rhoden informed me that the son of Ms. Monica Robotham (whom he planned to marry) was studying criminal psychology at university in the States, and that his son, who had been marking papers, had now been admitted to a PhD programme to do research in technology for hybrid vehicles. He remarked that "they must have done the research" to ascertain that his son was capable of doing the PhD programme.
10. In the course of our conversation my misgivings increased about Rhoden's manner and the content of our dialogue: the presumption that I might have been sleeping in the middle of the day, the offer of mangoes, and the apparently unexpected admission of his son to a PhD programme, all pointing to sustained communication with Williams. I felt that Rhoden had been taking advice from Williams that very morning, and I insisted that when he returned with the mangoes he should come in and have a cup of coffee so that we could have a proper conversation.
11. Early on Friday morning at about 7:00 am, Hewitt stopped by to tell me that he had heard that the man who came to see me in the red van while he was gardening, was dead. He said that he was going to check if this were true, and I asked him to advise me as soon as possible. Later that morning Hewitt returned confirming Mr. Rhoden's death. Hewitt said that he did gardening for Ms. Robotham and that when Mr. Rhoden visited on Tuesday he had even remarked that Hewitt worked in our garden too.
12. I felt there was something amiss, and I spent the rest of Friday thinking about the situation before visiting Ms. Robotham. On Saturday 5 August 2006, I visited Ms. Robotham at 37 Roehampton Circle. Her tenant Ms. Ann-Marie Blake was also present. Ms. Robotham told me that Mr. Rhoden had brought mangoes home for me. She said that he seemed fine, then just suddenly fell and she rushed him to the hospital unconscious, where he was pronounced dead.
13. Ms. Robotham said that Mr. Rhoden had a heart condition. She didn't know much about the heart condition because he had the situation completely under control with his medication, diet and exercise, experiencing no adverse symptoms or effects. Ms. Robotham said that Mr. Rhoden had developed a pain through his foot for which he had consulted his regular GP, Dr. Charmian Webb who had prescribed Arcoxia for the pain. She said that the leaflet that came with the drug indicated that Arcoxia could cause heart failure.
14. I asked if Dr. Webb knew about Rhoden's heart condition and Ms. Robotham replied that Dr. Webb did know about his heart condition; she had been his doctor for many years and knew that Rhoden was being treated by cardiologist Dr. Denbow. I mentioned that when Rhoden visited me on 2 August, he seemed particularly anxious; Ms. Blake replied that anxiety was one of the side-effects of the drug and commented that she didn't understand why the doctor had prescribed Arcoxia if it could cause heart

failure.

15. Ms. Robotham said that she did not know if there was going to be an autopsy since this decision rested with Rhoden's sons. She also said that Dr. Webb would not sign the death certificate because she claimed that she had not been treating Rhoden for a heart condition.

16. On Friday, 11 August 2006, I visited Ms. Robotham. She informed me that there would be no autopsy, that Dr. Denbow had signed the death certificate, and that Rhoden would be buried the following day. I took the Arcoxia patient information leaflet from Ms. Robotham and wrote down the name of the drug and the manufacturers "Merck Sharp and Dohme". I asked her if Rhoden had been experiencing any adverse effects from Arcoxia. Ms. Robotham replied that Rhoden had said that the drug had alleviated the pain "in every part of his body".

17. I attended Rhoden's funeral on Saturday, 12 August 2006 at the Cathedral of St. Jago in Spanish Town.

18. I visited Ms. Robotham on Monday 14 August 2006. She was preparing to go to Atlanta to stay with one of her sons for a week or two. I briefly mentioned the matter of my Honours thesis and the involvement of professors at MIT. I told her that Williams routinely contacted my neighbours with the intention of inciting criticism, encouraging antagonism, and making my life as uncomfortable as possible. I warned her that Williams was a criminal who manipulated people through bribes, offers to get their children into university programmes and other promises. I emphatically advised her that if Williams were to telephone her she should tell him not to call her again and hang up the telephone. I emphasized to Ms. Robotham that I would not have mentioned this in her time of distress had I not considered it to be of crucial importance. As we parted, I told her that I needed to discuss a matter with her but that this was not the time.

19. I last visited Ms. Robotham on Wednesday, 8 November 2006. She informed me that Rhoden had had a heart attack some years previously and that Dr. Webb was his family doctor. She again said that his condition was well controlled as she was not aware of any symptoms and so knew little about it except that he was taking medications for his hypertension and to keep fluid off his lungs.

20. In this visit, I reminded her that I had previously mentioned a matter that I needed to discuss with her. I then advised her that Rhoden was murdered; that I intended to file a written report; and that on 12 October, I had informed the Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr. Kent Pantry, of the murder. I discussed the case against the criminal enterprise, the continuous 24-hour surveillance operations, the attempts to pressure me into dropping the complaints (including covert offers of bribes), and the exhaustive reporting to law enforcement agencies and government ministers, who had all disregarded the evidence of the crimes in furtherance of the cover-up.

----- 11/21/2006 19:27:46 -----

C. The Facts About Arcoxia

1. Arcoxia relieves pain by blocking the protein Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), which causes inflammation. The drug is used to treat arthritis and other musculoskeletal complaints. Arcoxia was rushed to market to replace the COX-2 inhibitor Vioxx, which was withdrawn from the market on 30 September 2004.

2. Merck's withdrawal of Vioxx was precipitated by a study sponsored by the FDA. David J. Graham MD, MPH, Associated Director for Science in the Office of Drug Safety, was the principal investigator. The FDA posted an abridged version of Graham's study on their website. [3] The study found that Vioxx increases the risk of serious coronary heart disease defined as acute myocardial infarction (heart attack) and sudden cardiac death up to 3.7-fold the risk compared with Celebrex, a Cox-2 inhibitor of 1/10th the inhibitory potency of Vioxx.

3. Other studies verify the cardiac toxicity of Vioxx, even in patients with no history of heart disease. The studies provide evidence that long-term exposure to Vioxx might not be necessary for acute myocardial

- infarction, that the risk of heart attack, stroke, and sudden cardiac death increases at higher dosages of Vioxx, and conclude that until evidence to the contrary is available, Cox-2 inhibitors should be used only with extreme caution even in populations at relatively low risk for cardiovascular events.[4]
4. On 23 August 2006, Merck announced the results of their Medal study on Arcoxia. The data provided evidence that patients on the higher 90 milligram dose of Arcoxia suffered greater incidence of congestive heart failure.[5]
5. The Arcoxia Patient Information Leaflet advises that patients who have had a heart attack or other heart disease should not take Arcoxia, while the Summary of Product Characteristics labels the drug as contraindicated for patients with congestive heart failure, other heart disease, and uncontrolled high blood pressure.[6] Regulators in Europe have advised physicians not to prescribe the drug for patients with high blood pressure, which itself is a major risk for heart disease.
6. The Arcoxia Patient Information Leaflet also warns that the drug may reduce the effectiveness of medications used to control high blood pressure and heart failure – medications on which Rhoden’s life depended.

D. Comments

1. During an earlier visit some months ago, Rhoden told me that he had begun taking vitamin C. He seemed to have suddenly acquired knowledge about vitamin C, as he informed me that the vitamin needed to be taken every 12 hours for optimal effect. We never had discussions about health issues. He introduced the topic of his intake of vitamin C amidst our usual discussions about engineering, his sons, and the conspiracy surrounding the theft of my Honours dissertation - and I thought it odd at the time, since his mention of the vitamin C was irrelevant to our conversation.
2. I believe now that Rhoden’s mention of the vitamin C was, in fact, relevant to our discussion, and that he mentioned it only because he had accepted this advice from Williams against whom I had warned him. I believe that by advising Rhoden to take vitamin C (which was in fact good for him) Williams was actually inducing Rhoden to build confidence in advice to be subsequently dispensed about the taking of his prescribed medications. The advice to take the vitamin C was a trial run.
3. Williams has twice persuaded my father to stop taking his medications for high blood pressure. In June 2006, Regnier appeared to have been also persuaded by Williams to discontinue his medications causing him to be hospitalised.
4. Medications for high blood pressure can cause impotence, frequent urination, constipation, and other unpleasant side-effects. Arcoxia increases fluid retention, reversing some of these side effects, it is not difficult to see why Rhoden might have been persuaded that the drug had alleviated the pain “in every part of his body” possibly making him more receptive to suggestions to take a higher dosage, which from the evidence would have put him at risk for sudden cardiac death, especially if done in combination with the cessation of his heart and blood pressure medications.
5. Williams manipulated Hewitt into working on Wednesday, 2 August, to coincide with Rhoden’s visit, so that Hewitt could inform me on Friday, 4 August, of the death. The Defendants exploited a window of opportunity in a desperate attempt to stop work on the pre-indictment brief. Given Rhoden’s condition, his death was timed with the certainty that an excessive dosage of Arcoxia would produce. A legitimate autopsy would have provided evidence of the cause of death and of the concentrations of the medications present in his body.
6. Williams has established a pattern of operating through doctors. These operations include corruptly influencing psychiatrists Charles Thesiger, Aggrey Irons, and George Leveridge in 1999 – 2000 to enter false diagnoses of schizophrenia with intent to establish mental incapacity in respect of my filing of complaints to prosecute the Defendants.[2] Significantly, on 16 February 2000, Thesiger advised me to stop writing letters of complaint as such further letter- writing could be viewed as a disorder.
7. In September 2000, a Miami-based ophthalmologist misled my parents into believing that there were no treatments for improving the vision of patients suffering from age-related macular degeneration, a disease causing blindness that afflicts my father. According to my mother, the ophthalmologist advised them that “what damage was done was done already”. In about November 2005, Regnier, who also suffers from vision loss, received the identical advice from a Cuban ophthalmologist “what damage was done was done already”. There are other instances involving other doctors.

8. Despite Mr. Rhoden's sudden and unexpected death, he was buried without autopsy because his sons were apparently persuaded against doing so. It is clear that Williams' powers of persuasion derive not only from the menu of bribes and promises supplied by the criminal enterprise, but from his office as professor at the eminent Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

----- 11/21/2006 19:29:07 -----

E. Action

1. The Defendants intend to silence me by murder. I fear for my life and those of my family members and friends. Monica Robotham and Cleveland Hewitt are witnesses in the course of events leading to Rhoden's murder; accordingly, their lives are at risk. The Jamaican law enforcement authorities have a duty to initiate investigation immediately in collaboration with the national and international law enforcement agencies responsible, the ICC, the FBI, and Scotland Yard, to bring to justice the murderers of Alvan Douglas Rhoden, and to prevent further murders by them.

AFFIDAVIT

- 1. I, Adrienne Gaye Thompson, an Electrical Engineer and Computer Scientist of 5 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19 Jamaica, hereby state on oath:
 - 2. I swear this affidavit in support of Statement No. 4, in which it is included, reporting the murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden on 3 August 2006.
 - 3. There is no doubt in my mind whatsoever that James H. Williams and his accomplices are responsible for the death of Mr. Rhoden. I believe that the criminal enterprise plan to commission further murders, and I fear for my life and the lives of my family members and friends.
 - 4. I declare and affirm all statements, facts, and information presented in this Complaint to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- WHEREFORE, I demand that process be issued and that James H. Williams and all those responsible for the murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden be made to answer for their crimes.

.....
Adrienne Gaye Thompson
Complainant

Sworn and subscribed to at In the parish of Saint Andrew
This day of November 2006, before me:

.....
Justice of the Peace

REFERENCES

- 1. Thompson v Webb et. al., suit No. CL1996/T-075, filed 28 April 1998, in the Supreme Court of

Judicature of Jamaica.

2. Thompson v Barrow, suit No. C.L.T. 132/2000, filed 18 October 2000, in the Supreme Court of Judicature of Jamaica.

3. Graham D.J., Campen D., Hui R., et al., 'Risk of acute myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death in patients treated with COX-2 selective and non-selective NSAIDs', Lancet 2005;365:475-81, abridged version available at <http://www.fda.gov/CDER/DRUG/infopage/vioxx/vioxxgraham.pdf>, visited 15 November 2006.

4. Levesque L.E., Brophy J.M., Zhang B., 'The risk for myocardial infarction with cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors: a population study of elderly adults', Ann Intern Med 2005;142:481-9, available at <http://www.annals.org/cgi/content/full/142/7/481>, visited 15 November 2006.

5. Merck and Co., 'Merck Provides Preliminary Analyses of the Completed MEDAL Program for ARCOXIA™ (Etoricoxib)', available at http://www.merck.com/newsroom/press_releases/research_and_development/2006_0823.html, visited 15 November 2006.

6. The Arcoxia Patient Information Leaflet and Summary of Product Characteristics may be accessed from this page: <http://www.msd-uk.com/content/corporate/products/ukproducts.html>, visited 15 November 2006.

Addresses

· Mr. Alvan Douglas Rhoden, 37 Roehampton Circle, Kingston 19. Mr. Rhoden was also resident in Spanish Town, St. Catherine, and in Florida, United States of America.

· Professor James H. Williams Jr., Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rm 3-360, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge MA 02139, USA.

· Dr. Charmian Webb, 13 Farringdon Drive, Kingston 6.

----- 11/21/2006 19:31:34 -----

Distribution:

· Mr. Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor, International Criminal Court (delivered by email OTP.InformationDesk@icc-cpi.int);

· Senator the Honourable A. J. Nicholson QC, Attorney General of Jamaica (delivered in person and by email to agminister@moj.gov.jm);

· Senator the Honourable Anthony Hylton, Minister of Foreign Affairs and Trade (delivered in person and by email to hmfaftja@cwjamaica.com);

· Mr. Arthur Balek, Regional Security Officer, United States Embassy (delivered in person and by email to opakgn@pd.state.gov);

· Dr. Susan Hockfield, President, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (delivered by email to hockfield@mit.edu).

Table of Contents

I. Summary 3

II. Statement 4

A. Introduction 4

B. The Facts Surrounding Rhoden's Murder 6

C. The Facts About Arcoxia 9

D. Comments 10

E. Action 11
Affidavit 12
References 13
Addresses 13

----- 11/22/2006 23:50:34 -----

22 November 2006

Delivered by email to opakgn@pd.state.gov and in person to the Embassy of the United States of America, Kingston, Jamaica, for transmission to the Federal Bureau of Investigation - as advised at <http://www.fbi.gov/contactus.htm>.

URGENT PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

Internet Crime Complaint Centre reference Nos. I0611211912208451 and I05013115364847;
International Criminal Court reference No. OTP-CR-313/04.

For the Express Attention of:
Mr. Arthur Balek, Regional Security Officer

The Federal Bureau of Investigation
c/o Regional Security Office
United States Embassy
3rd floor, South Tower
Mutual Life Building
2 Oxford Road
Kingston 5.

Dear Sir:

USC Title 18 violations: Murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden

I hereby file with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the enclosed report of 17 November 2006, concerning the murder of Mr. Alvan Douglas Rhoden on 3 August 2006, by MIT professor, James H. Williams Jr. and his accomplices.[1]

Mr. Rhoden informed me months ago that he had bought some land in Florida; I believe he was either a resident or a citizen of the USA. Irrespective of his status, however, Rhoden's murder was commissioned as part of an ongoing pattern of racketeering activity organized by an international criminal enterprise with members in Massachusetts, USA. The activities of the criminal enterprise are detailed in my complaint of 6 January 2004 to Scotland Yard,[2] which accompanied my reports of 31 January and 10 February 2005 to the RSO.[3]

The criminal enterprise includes five officers (and former officers) of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The FBI accordingly have extra-territorial jurisdiction under Title 18 of the United States Federal Code. This includes the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), codified as Chapter 96 of Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. sections 1961 through 1968. I have also filed reports of the murder with FBI Tips and Public Leads,[4 b] and with the Internet Crime Computer Centre (complaint No. 10611211912208451).[5 b] With regard to the latter filing, the stalking violations and other computer crime are also amenable to Title 18 USC sections 2261, 1029 and 1030.

I also enclose a copy of my pre-indictment brief filed under Article 15 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.[6] Please note that I registered my complaints to the RSO and the FBI on

pages 25 to 28, and Appendix A (IX) of the brief. Without doubt, the obstruction of the complaints filed – itself a Federal offence – encouraged and contributed to the cold-blooded murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden.

Yours truly

Adrienne Gaye Thompson

E-copies:

· Prosecutor Luis Moreno-Ocampo, International Criminal Court, OTP.InformationDesk@icc-cpi.int;

· Police Commissioner Lucius Thomas and Mr. Kenneth Pantry QC, Director of Public

Prosecutions, Jamaica, dpp@moj.gov.jm.

References:

Items marked “ ** “ are enclosed. The email attachment is “FBI.zip”.

1.** Report on the Murder of Alvan Douglas Rhoden, filed with DPP Mr. Kent Pantry QC, and Police Commissioner Lucius Thomas, Jamaica, 17 November 2006;

2.** Complaint against the University of Aberdeen and Others, filed with the Metropolitan Police Service (Scotland Yard), England, 6 January 2004;

3.** Complaint to the FBI through the Regional Security Office of the United States Embassy, Kingston, Jamaica, 31 January 2005 and 10 February 2005, delivered by email to opakgn@pd.state.gov and in person to Assistant RSO Leonard Colston;

4. Complaints filed with FBI Tips and Public Leads at <https://tips.fbi.gov>:

a. 31 January 2005,

b. 21 November 2006;

5. Complaints filed with the Internet Crime Computer Centre at <http://www.ic3.gov>:

a. Complaint No. I05013115364847, 31 January 2005,

b. Complaint No. I0611211912208451, 21 November 2006

6.** Pre-Indictment Brief (apartheid) against Rice and Others, ICC reference No. OTP-CR-313/04, filed 5 October 2006 by email and Federal Express A/B 855473333900.